

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/520,244	. 09/02/2005	Mark Diener	231945	2528
	7590 04/24/200 C & MAYER, LTD	EXAMINER		
TWO PRUDENTIAL PLAZA, SUITE 4900			PETERSON, KENNETH E	
180 NORTH STETSON AVENUE CHICAGO, IL 60601-6731		·	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
,			3724	
SHORTENED STATUTOR	Y PERIOD OF RESPONSE	MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE	
31 DAYS		04/24/2007	PAPER	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire 6 MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	10/520,244	DIENER, MARK	
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Kenneth E. Peterson	3724	
The MAILING DATE of this communication app	pears on the cover sheet with the o	correspondence address	
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING D Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.1 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period to Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tire will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the cause the application to become ABANDONE	N. nely filed the mailing date of this communication. ED (35 U.S.C. § 133).	
Status	•		
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 2a) This action is FINAL . 2b) This 3) Since this application is in condition for alloward closed in accordance with the practice under Expression in the practice of the	action is non-final. nce except for formal matters, pro		
Disposition of Claims			
4) Claim(s) 20-56 is/are pending in the application 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdray 5) Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) is/are rejected. 7) Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) 20-56 are subject to restriction and/or	wn from consideration.		
Application Papers	•		
9) The specification is objected to by the Examine 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) access applicant may not request that any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct and the output of the second secon	epted or b) objected to by the liderawing(s) be held in abeyance. Section is required if the drawing(s) is ob	e 37 CFR 1.85(a). jected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).	
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119	•		
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents 2. Certified copies of the priority documents 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority application from the International Bureau * See the attached detailed Office action for a list	s have been received. s have been received in Applicati ity documents have been receive u (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	on No ed in this National Stage	
Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5) Notice of Informal P 6) Other:	nte	

Application/Control Number: 10/520,244

Art Unit: 3724

1. Restriction is required under 35 U.S.C. 121 and 372.

This application contains the following inventions or groups of inventions which are not so linked as to form a single general inventive concept under PCT Rule 13.1.

In accordance with 37 CFR 1.499, applicant is required, in reply to this action, to elect a single invention to which the claims must be restricted.

Group I, claims 21-29,40-47, drawn to the special technical feature of the connections between the machine frame, main carrier and the swivel support.

Group II, claims 30,31,48,49, drawn to the special technical feature of two vertical arms on the intermediate carrier.

Group III, claims 32-34,50-52, drawn to the special technical feature of a removable support table.

Group IV, claims 35,36,53,54 drawn to the special technical feature of vice.

Group V, claims 37,55, drawn to the special technical feature of a swivel driving device.

Group VI, claims 38,56, drawn to the special technical feature of two blade rollers.

The inventions listed as Groups I-VI do not relate to a single general inventive concept under PCT Rule 13.1 because, under PCT Rule 13.2, they lack the same or corresponding special technical features as set forth above.

2. Claims 20 and 39 will be examined with the elected group, so long as they are directed to the elected species (see below). Claims 20 and 39 are deemed to have no special technical feature and thus do not bind the groups together (see 37 CFR 1.476d). If it is ultimately determined that if either claim 20 or 39 is allowable, claims dependent therefrom may be rejoined.

Application/Control Number: 10/520,244 Page 3

Art Unit: 3724

3. This application contains claims directed to more than one species of the generic invention. These species are deemed to lack unity of invention because they are not so

linked as to form a single general inventive concept under PCT Rule 13.1.

The species are as follows:

Species A - figures 1 and 2

Species B – figures 3 and 4

Species C – figures 5

Species D – figures 6

Applicant is required, in reply to this action, to elect a single species to which the claims shall be restricted if no generic claim is finally held to be allowable. The reply must also identify the claims readable on the elected species, including any claims subsequently added. An argument that a claim is allowable or that all claims are generic is considered non-responsive unless accompanied by an election.

Upon the allowance of a generic claim, applicant will be entitled to consideration of claims to additional species which are written in dependent form or otherwise include all the limitations of an allowed generic claim as provided by 37 CFR 1.141. If claims are added after the election, applicant must indicate which are readable upon the elected species. MPEP § 809.02(a).

Applicant is required to list which claims in the elected group read on the elected species.

4.

The species listed above do not relate to a single general inventive concept

Page 4

under PCT Rule 13.1 because, under PCT Rule 13.2, the species lack the same or

corresponding special technical features as seen in their respective figures.

5. Applicant is advised that the reply to this requirement to be complete must

include (i) an election of a species or invention to be examined even though the

requirement be traversed (37 CFR 1.143) and (ii) identification of the claims

encompassing the elected invention.

The election of an invention or species may be made with or without traverse. To

reserve a right to petition, the election must be made with traverse. If the reply does not

distinctly and specifically point out supposed errors in the restriction requirement, the

election shall be treated as an election without traverse.

Should applicant traverse on the ground that the inventions or species are not

patentably distinct, applicant should submit evidence or identify such evidence now of

record showing the inventions or species to be obvious variants or clearly admit on the

record that this is the case. In either instance, if the examiner finds one of the inventions

unpatentable over the prior art, the evidence or admission may be used in a rejection.

under 35 U.S.C.103(a) of the other invention.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the 6.

examiner should be directed to Kenneth E. Peterson whose telephone number is 571-

272-4512. The examiner can normally be reached on Mon-Thur, 7:30-4:30.

Art Unit: 3724

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Boyer Ashley can be reached on 571-272-4502. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

kp

KENNETH E. PETERSON PRIMARY EXAMINER